

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,073		03/23/2004	Liang-Bih Lin	D/A2110	A2110 4062	
25453	7590	11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
PATENT 1	DOCUM	ENTATION CEN	CHAPMAN	CHAPMAN, MARK A		
XEROX CO		TION ., SOUTH, XEROX	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROCHEST			(() () () () () () () () () (1756 DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/807,073	LIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark A. Chapman	1756	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Au	ugust 2006.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen		»□····-		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/807,073

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lin (2003/0211413). Lin teaches an imaging member with the same construction of the claimed imaging member (claim 24). It is inherent that the imaging member would posses an arbitrary property of

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/807,073

Art Unit: 1756

collection efficiency proportional to an electric field. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any art recognized component of the imaging member such as charge transport component, binders, hole transport components, and electron transport components known for their intended use in similar imaging members with the expectation of similar results because of the direct teachings of Lin.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (2003/0211413) as applied to claims 1-40 above, and further in view of Spiewak (5,336,577). Lin is discussed above. Spiewak teaches imaging member where a charge transport component is comprised of a mixture of a hole transport and electron transport components (claims and examples). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the direct suggestion of Spiewak (col. 5-6 including the table) to use specific ratios of the desired components including a photogenerating compound (col. 8) to produce an improved imaging member with the expectation of similar results (col. 3-4). Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate these components in to the imaging member of Lin because of the direct suggestion of Lin's imaging member construction and location of similar charge transport component, binders, hole transport components, and electron transport components known for their intended use in similar imaging members with the expectation of similar results.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,073 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-1381. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Mark A. Chapman **Primary Examiner**

en A. Os

Art Unit 1756

MC